

JOURNAL OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS 22, 390–404 (1976)

## Pure States of Simple $C^*$ -algebras

JAN SØRENG

*Matematisk Institutt, Universitetet i Oslo, Blindern, Oslo 3**Communicated by the Editors*

Received May 29, 1975; revised July 2, 1975

Pure states of simple  $C^*$ -algebras with identity are studied. We prove that pure states of such algebras have a product decomposition property, and that two pure states are unitarily equivalent if and only if they are asymptotically equal.

### INTRODUCTION

In [4] Powers studied uniformly hyperfinite (UHF)  $C^*$ -algebras. He proved that factor states of such algebras can be characterized by a product decomposition property [4, Theorem 2.5], and he found necessary and sufficient conditions that two factor representations be quasiequivalent [4, Theorem 2.7]. Analogous results are also proved in [3]. In the present paper we shall derive the same type of results for pure states of simple  $C^*$ -algebras with identity, thus indicating how properties of UHF-algebras may be extended to general  $C^*$ -algebras.

A  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a CCR-algebra if every irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{U}$  maps  $\mathcal{U}$  into the completely continuous operators. If a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  has no nonzero CCR ideals, then we call  $\mathcal{U}$  an NGCR-algebra.

In [2, Lemma 4] Glimm proved that a separable NGCR-algebra with identity contains an ascending sequence of approximate matrix algebras of order  $2, 4, \dots, 2^n, \dots$  with certain density properties, and we use these approximate matrix algebras to state our results.

We are grateful to Erling Størmer for helpful suggestions.

### 1. DEFINITIONS AND SIMPLE CONSEQUENCES

We use the notation and terminology developed by Glimm in [2]. We shall write  $0_n$  for the  $n$ -tuple  $(0, \dots, 0)$  and  $[M]$  for the closed linear span of  $M$ , where  $M$  is a subset of a Hilbert space.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $B(n)$  be elements of a  $C^*$ -algebra, where  $n$  is a positive integer. We call

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

an *approximate matrix algebra* of order  $2^n$  if the following axioms are satisfied:

- (1)  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* V(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$  if  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ .
- (2)  $V(0_n) \geq 0$  and  $\|V(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| = 1$ .
- (3)  $B(n) \geq 0$  and  $\|B(n)\| = 1$ .
- (4)  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* V(a_1, \dots, a_n) B(n) = B(n)$ .

DEFINITION 2. For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ ,  $a_i \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $B(n)$  be elements of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$ . We call

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

an *approximate sequence of approximate matrix algebras* if the following properties are satisfied:

(1) We let  $E(n) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_n} V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^*$ . For each  $S \in \mathcal{U}$  and each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist an  $n$  and a linear combination  $T$  of elements of the form  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*$  such that  $\|E(n+1)(S - T)E(n+1)\| < \epsilon$ .

(2) If  $j \leq k$  and if  $(a_1, \dots, a_j) \neq (b_1, \dots, b_j)$ , then

$$V(a_1, \dots, a_j)^* V(b_1, \dots, b_k) = 0.$$

(3) If  $k \geq 2$ , then  $V(a_1, \dots, a_k) = V(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) V(0_{k-1}, a_k)$ .

(4) If  $j < k$ , then  $V(a_1, \dots, a_j)^* V(a_1, \dots, a_j) V(0_{k-1}, a_k) = V(0_{k-1}, a_k)$ .

(5)  $V(0_n) \geq 0$  and  $\|V(a_1, \dots, a_n)\| = 1$ .

(6)  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* V(a_1, \dots, a_n) B(n) = B(n)$ .

(7)  $\|B(n)\| = 1$  and  $B(n) \geq 0$ .

The difference between the axioms of Definition 2 and those in [2, Lemma 4] is so small that [2, Lemma 5] remains valid for an approximate sequence of approximate matrix algebras. This latter lemma therefore tells us about the matrix structure for such a sequence. The next three lemmas establish some properties of approximate sequences of approximate matrix algebras which we shall need later.

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

*be an approximate sequence of approximate matrix algebras, and let  $E(n)$  be defined as in Definition 2. Then the following are true:*

- (1)  $\|E(n)\| = 1$  and  $E(n) \geq 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .
- (2)  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^* E(n+1)$   
 $= \sum_{b=0,1} V(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) V(b_1, \dots, b_n, b)^*.$
- (3)  $E(n) E(m) = E(m) E(n) = E(m)$  when  $n < m$ .
- (4)  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*$  and  $E(p)$  commute if  $n < p$ .
- (5)  $V(i) V(j)^* V(p) V(k)^* E(n+1) = \delta_{j,p} V(i) V(k)^* E(n+1)$   
*for all  $i, j, k, p \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . ( $\delta_{j,j} = 1$  and  $\delta_{j,p} = 0$  if  $j \neq p$ ).*
- (6)  $V(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})^* E(n+1)$   
 $= [V(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0) V(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, 0)^*$   
 $+ V(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 1) V(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, 1)^*] E(n+1).$

*Proof.* (1) Since  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* \geq 0$  for all  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , we have  $E(n) \geq 0$ .

$$V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^* [V(b_1, \dots, b_n) V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^*] V(a_1, \dots, a_n) B(n) = B(n)$$

is a consequence of Definition 2, Axiom (6). Since all the  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $B(n)$  have norm one, we get from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that  $\|V(b_1, \dots, b_n) V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^*\| = 1$  for  $(a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . This together with the fact that  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* V(b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$  if  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ , implies that  $\|E(n)\| = 1$ .

(2) In the following we use without comment Definition 2, Axioms 2, 3, and 4.

$$V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^* V(c_1, \dots, c_{n+1}) V(c_1, \dots, c_{n+1})^* = 0$$

$$\text{if } (b_1, \dots, b_n) \neq (c_1, \dots, c_n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^* V(b_1, \dots, b_n, c_{n+1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_n, c_{n+1})^* \\ &= V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^* V(b_1, \dots, b_n) V(0_n, c_{n+1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_n, c_{n+1})^* \\ &= V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(0_n, c_{n+1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_n, c_{n+1})^* \\ &= V(a_1, \dots, a_n, c_{n+1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_n, c_{n+1})^*. \end{aligned}$$

From these equalities we can easily prove (2).

(3) From (2) we get  $E(n) E(n+1) = E(n+1)$ . Since  $E(n)$  is selfadjoint for each  $n$ , it follows that  $E(n+1) E(n) = E(n+1)$ . We suppose  $k > n$  and get

$$\begin{aligned} E(n) E(k) &= E(n) E(n+1) \cdots E(k-1) E(k) = E(k) \\ &= E(k) E(k-1) \cdots E(n+1) E(n) = E(k) E(n). \end{aligned}$$

(4) We prove the assertion by induction with respect to the difference  $p - n$ . We suppose first that  $p - n = 1$ . From (2) and  $E(n) = E(n)^*$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} E(n+1) V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^* &= [V(c_1, \dots, c_n) V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* E(n+1)]^* \\ &= \left[ \sum_{b=0,1} V(c_1, \dots, c_n, b) V(a_1, \dots, a_n, b)^* \right]^* \\ &= \sum_{b=0,1} V(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) V(c_1, \dots, c_n, b)^* \\ &= V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^* E(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that the assertion is true for  $p - n = s \geq 1$  and that  $p - n = s + 1$ . From (2) and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^* E(p) &= V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^* E(n+1) E(p) \\ &= \sum_{b=0,1} V(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) V(c_1, \dots, c_n, b)^* E(p) \\ &= E(p) \sum_{b=0,1} V(a_1, \dots, a_n, b) V(c_1, \dots, c_n, b)^* \\ &= E(p) V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^* E(n+1) \\ &= E(p) E(n+1) V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^* \\ &= E(p) V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^*. \end{aligned}$$

(5) and (6) are proved in the same way as is [2, Lemma 5].

By a simple induction argument the next lemma follows from Lemma 1.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let*

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

be an approximate sequence of approximate matrix algebras in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$ . For each  $n$  we let  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  be the  $*$ -algebra generated by all  $V(a_1, \dots, a_m) V(c_1, \dots, c_m)^*$  such that  $0 < m \leq n$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_m), (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m$ .

Then for each  $x \in \mathfrak{B}_n$  there exist complex numbers  $a_{(a_1, \dots, a_n), (c_1, \dots, c_n)}$  such that

$$xE(n+1) = \sum_{\substack{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \\ (c_1, \dots, c_n)}} a_{(a_1, \dots, a_n), (c_1, \dots, c_n)} V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(c_1, \dots, c_n)^* E(n+1).$$

We illustrate the proof by an example. We let

$$x = V(1, 1) V(0, 0)^* V(0, 0, 1) V(1, 1, 1)^*,$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} xE(4) &= V(1, 1) V(0, 0)^* E(3) E(4) V(0, 0, 1) V(1, 1, 1)^* \\ &= [V(1, 1, 0) V(0, 0, 0)^* + V(1, 1, 1) V(0, 0, 1)^*] \\ &\quad \times V(0, 0, 1) V(1, 1, 1)^* E(4) \\ &= V(1, 1, 1) V(1, 1, 1)^* E(4). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

and  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  are defined in Lemma 2.

Then for each  $y \in \mathcal{U}$  we have

$$z = E(n+1) \times \left[ \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(0_n)^* y V(0_n) V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* \right] E(n+1) \in \mathfrak{B}_n^c$$

where  $\mathfrak{B}_n^c$  is the commutant to  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

*Proof.* In this proof we use without comment the axioms of Definition 2 and the results in Lemma 1. We have for  $j, k \in \{0, 1\}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} V(j) V(k)^* z &= E(n+1) V(j) V(k)^* V(k) V(0_n)^* y V(0_n) V(k)^* E(n+1) \\ &= V(j) V(k)^* V(k) V(0_n)^* E(n+1) y V(0_n) V(k)^* E(n+1) \\ &= V(j) V(0_n)^* E(n+1) y V(0_n) V(k)^* E(n+1) \\ &= E(n+1) V(j) V(0_n)^* y V(0_n) V(j)^* V(j) V(k)^* E(n+1) \\ &= E(n+1) V(j) V(0_n)^* y V(0_n) V(j)^* E(n+1) V(j) V(k)^* \\ &= z V(j) V(k)^*. \end{aligned}$$

We let  $x \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ . By Lemma 2 there exist complex numbers  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $i, j \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , such that

$$xE(n+1) = \sum_{i,j \in \{0,1\}^n} a_{i,j} V(i) V(j)^* E(n+1).$$

This implies that

$$xz = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} V(i) V(j)^* z \quad \text{and} \quad zx = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} z V(i) V(j)^*.$$

It follows now that  $xz = zx$ , and we have  $z \in \mathfrak{B}_n^c$ .

## 2. TWO VARIATIONS OF GLIMM'S LEMMA

We need two small variations on the fundamental [2, Lemma 4].

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a simple, separable NGCR-algebra with identity, and let  $f$  be a pure state. Then  $\mathfrak{U}$  contains an approximate sequence of approximate matrix algebras such that  $f(B(n)) = 1$  for all  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We let  $S_0, S_1, \dots$  be a dense subset of the selfadjoint elements in  $\mathfrak{U}$ . We change the proof of [2, Lemma 4] such that we in addition get  $f(B(n)) = 1$  for all  $n$ . The induction step in the proof need be changed in only two places.

First, in the seventh line from the top of [2, p. 577], we let  $\mu = f$ . This is possible since  $f(B(n)) = 1$ .

The other change is in lines 11–13 of page 578. There we let  $\varphi = \varphi_f$  and  $y = x_f$ . This is possible since  $\varphi_f(B_\sigma)$  is noncompact, because  $\mathfrak{U}$  is simple, and since  $\varphi_f(B_\sigma) x_f = x_f$  (line 10, p. 578).

From the 13th line from the bottom of page 579 in Glimm's proof it follows that  $\varphi_f(B(n+1)) x_f = x_f$ . This implies that  $f(B(n+1)) = 1$ .

We have now found elements  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $B(n)$  such that the axioms (2)–(7) in Definition 2 are satisfied and elements  $T_n \in \mathfrak{M}(n)$  ( $\mathfrak{M}(n)$  is the linear span of elements of the form  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*$ ) such that  $\|E(n+1)(S_n - T_n)E(n+1)\| < 1/n$ .

We let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $S \in \mathfrak{U}$  be arbitrary. There exist selfadjoint elements  $S'$  and  $S''$  such that  $S = S' + iS''$ . We chose  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  such that  $\|S' - S_{k_1}\| < \epsilon/4$ ,  $\|S'' - S_{k_2}\| < \epsilon/4$ ,  $1/k_1 < \epsilon/4$  and  $1/k_2 < \epsilon/4$ . Since  $\|E(n)\| = 1$  and  $E(n)E(m) = E(m)$  if  $n < m$ , it follows by an  $\epsilon/4$ -argument that

$$\|E(p+1)[S - (T_{k_1} + iT_{k_2})]E(p+1)\| < \epsilon,$$

where  $p = \max(k_1, k_2)$ . By Lemma 2 there is a  $T \in \mathfrak{M}(p)$  such that  $(T_{k_1} + iT_{k_2})E(p+1) = TE(p+1)$ . This implies that  $\|E(p+1)(S-T)E(p+1)\| < \epsilon$ , and we are done.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a simple NGCR-algebra with identity. Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two pure states such that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are not unitary equivalent. Let*

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

*be an approximate matrix algebra such that  $f_1(B(n)) = 1$ . Then there exists an approximate matrix algebra*

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_{n+1})^*, B(n+1) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

*such that  $f_1(B(n+1)) = 1$  and  $f_2(E(n+1)) = 0$ , where*

$$E(n+1) = \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})} V(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) V(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1})^*,$$

*and such that*

$$(1) \quad V(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) = V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(0_n, a_{n+1})$$

*and*

$$(2) \quad V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(0_n, a_{n+1}) = V(0_n, a_{n+1}).$$

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of the induction step in [2, Lemma 4]. We make some small changes.

We let  $\varphi_i$  and  $x_i$  respectively be the induced representation and induced vector of  $f_i$ . We let  $H_i$  be the Hilbert space on which  $\varphi_i$  acts. The elements  $D_0$ ,  $D_1$ ,  $B_\sigma$ ,  $B_{2\sigma}$ , and  $V$ , which we mention in the following proof, are defined on page 578 in Glimm's proof, and the function  $f_\sigma$  is defined on page 577.

First, in the seventh line from the top of page 577 we let  $\mu = f_1$ . This is possible since  $f_1(B(n)) = 1$ .

In lines 10–18 on page 578 we make the following changes. We let  $\varphi = \varphi_1$  (line 11). This is possible since  $\varphi_1(B_\sigma)x_1 = x_1$  and  $\mathfrak{U}$  is simple, hence  $\varphi_1(B_\sigma)$  is noncompact. We let  $y = x_1$ . This is possible since  $\varphi_1(B_\sigma)x_1 = x_1$ , which implies that  $x_1 \in \text{Range } \varphi_1(B_\sigma)$ .

We define  $N$  by

$$N = [\varphi_2(V(i)^*) x_2 : i \in \{0, 1\}^n], \quad (2.1)$$

which is a finite dimensional subspace of  $H_2$ . We require in addition of  $C_0$  and  $U$  in the lines 14 and 17 that

$$\varphi_2(C_0)(B_{2\sigma}N) = \{0\} \quad (2.2)$$

and that

$$\varphi_2(U^*)(f_\sigma(D_1)N) \subset N. \quad (2.3)$$

This is possible by an application of [1, Theorem 2.8.3], since  $\dim[f_\sigma(D_1)N] \leq \dim N < \infty$ , and since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are not unitarily equivalent.

By making these changes in the induction step of Glimm's proof we find an approximate matrix algebra

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_{n+1})^*, B(n+1) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

such that (1) and (2) are satisfied. It remains to prove that our changes imply that  $f_1(B(n+1)) = 1$  and  $f_2(E(n+1)) = 0$ .

By (2.2) we have  $\varphi_2(D_0)(N) = \{0\}$ , and hence  $\varphi_2(V)(N) = \{0\}$ . Since  $V^* = f_\sigma(D_0) U^* f_\sigma(D_1)$ , by (2.3) we have  $\varphi_2(V^*)(N) = \{0\}$ . From the definition of  $V(0_n, 1)$  and  $V(0_{n+1})$  we get  $\varphi_2(V(0_n, 1)^*)(N) = \{0\}$  and  $\varphi_2(V(0_{n+1}))(N) = \{0\}$ . (2.2) implies now that

$$\varphi_2(V(0_n, 1)^* V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* x_2 = 0$$

and

$$\varphi_2(V(0_{n+1})^* V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* x_2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n.$$

This implies that  $\varphi_2(E(n+1)) x_2 = 0$ , and hence  $f_2(E(n+1)) = 0$ .

From line 13 from the bottom of page 579 we get  $\varphi(B(n+1)) y = y$ . Since we have chosen  $\varphi = \varphi_1$  and  $y = x_1$ , we then get  $\varphi_1(B(n+1)) x_1 = x_1$  and hence  $f_1(B(n+1)) = 1$ .

We suppose we have two approximate matrix algebras which satisfy (1) and (2) in Lemma 5. Then, in the same way as in the proof of [2, Lemma 5], we can show the following:  $\mathfrak{M}(n)$  is the set of all finite linear combinations of elements of the form  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*$ . For each representation  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$ ,

$$\varphi(\mathfrak{M}(n))|_{[\text{range } \varphi(E(n+1))]_{H_\varphi}}$$

is a  $2^n \times 2^n$  matrix algebra with matrix units

$$\varphi(V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*|_{[\text{range } \varphi(E(n+1))]_{H_\varphi}}).$$

This justifies Definition 1 of an approximate matrix algebra.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We prove in Theorem 1 that pure states of a simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra with identity have a product decomposition property. Moreover, we prove in Theorem 2 that two pure states of a simple



$C^*$ -algebra with identity are unitarily equivalent if and only if they are asymptotically equal. The following result is well-known, and is stated without proof.

LEMMA 6. *Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with identity. Then either  $\mathfrak{U}$  is an NGCR-algebra or else  $\mathfrak{U}$  is  $*$ -isomorphic with an  $n \times n$  matrix algebra, where  $n$  is finite.*

THEOREM 1. *Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra with identity. We suppose that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is not  $*$ -isomorphic with any  $n \times n$  matrix algebra such that  $n$  is finite. Let  $f$  be a pure state of  $\mathfrak{U}$ .*

*Then  $\mathfrak{U}$  contains an approximate sequence of approximate matrix algebras*

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}^n \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

*such that the following are satisfied:*

*We let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^* : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\} \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and we let  $\mathfrak{M}(n)$  be the set of all linear combinations of  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*$ . Then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ , there is an  $n$  such that*

$$|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)| < \epsilon \|y\| \quad \text{for } y \in \mathfrak{M}(n)^c.$$

*( $\mathfrak{M}(n)^c$  is the commutant of  $\mathfrak{M}(n)$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$ .)*

*Proof.* In this proof we use the axioms of Definition 2 and Lemma 1 without comment.

By Lemma 6  $\mathfrak{U}$  is an NGCR algebra. We use Lemma 4 and choose an approximate sequence of approximate matrix algebras such that  $f(B(n)) = 1$  for all  $n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(n) B(n) &= E(n) V(0_n) V(0_n) B(n) \\ &= \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* V(0_n) V(0_n) B(n) \\ &= V(0_n) V(0_n) V(0_n) V(0_n) B(n) \\ &= B(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f(B(n)) = 1$  and  $\|B(n)\| = 1$ , we have

$$(\varphi_f(B(n)) x_f, x_f) = 1 = \|\varphi_f(B(n)) x_f\| \cdot \|x_f\|.$$

Thus  $\varphi_f(B(n)) x_f$  is proportional to  $x_f$ , and so is equal to  $x_f$ . Since  $E(n) B(n) = B(n)$ , we have

$$\varphi_f(E(n)) x_f = x_f \quad \text{and} \quad f(E(n)) = 1 \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (3.1)$$

We have now to prove the following assertion:

$f|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a pure state.

We prove first that  $f|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  has a unique extension to  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Suppose then that  $g$  is a state such that  $f|_{\mathfrak{A}} = g|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . In the same way as we prove  $\varphi_f(B(n)) x_f = x_f$ , we prove that  $\varphi_g(E(n)) x_g = x_g$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . From this and (3.1) we get

$$f(\cdot) = f(E(n) \cdot E(n)) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\cdot) = g(E(n) \cdot E(n)) \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.2)$$

We let  $S \in \mathfrak{U}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and choose  $n$  and  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\|E(n)(T - S)E(n)\| < \epsilon$ . By (3.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(S) - g(S)| &= |f(T) - g(T) + f(S - T) - g(S - T)| \\ &= |(f - g)(E(n)(S - T)E(n))| < 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we have  $f(S) = g(S)$ . Next we prove that  $f|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is pure. We suppose  $f|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \frac{1}{2}(h + g)$ , where  $h$  and  $g$  are states of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . We extend  $h$  and  $g$  to  $\mathfrak{U}$  and call the extensions  $h'$  and  $g'$ . Since we have just proved that  $f|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  has a unique extension to  $\mathfrak{U}$ , it follows that  $f = \frac{1}{2}(h' + g')$ .  $f$  is pure, hence  $f = h' = g'$ , and we have proved the assertion.

We let  $\mathfrak{B}_n$  be the  $*$ -algebra generated by  $\{V(a_1, \dots, a_k) V(b_1, \dots, b_k)^* : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}, k \leq n\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_n}^{\text{norm}}$ , it is sufficient to prove the theorem for each  $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_n$ .

We let  $x \in \mathfrak{B}_n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|x\| \cdot \delta + \delta |f(x)| + \delta(1 + \delta) < \epsilon.$$

$\{\mathfrak{B}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is an ascending sequence of  $*$ -algebras such that  $\mathfrak{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{B}_n}^{\text{norm}}$ , and  $f|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a pure state, in particular a factor state. We copy the proof of [4, Theorem 2.5(i)-(ii)] and find  $m > n$  such that

$$|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta \|y\| \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathfrak{B}_m^c \cap \mathfrak{A}. \quad (3.3)$$

We let  $y \in \mathfrak{M}(m)^c$ , and we suppose without loss of generality that  $\|y\| = 1$ . We need now the following assertion:

For each  $\delta > 0$  and each  $S \in \mathfrak{U}$  there exist  $k$  and  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_k$  such that  $\|T\| \leq \|S\| + \delta$  and  $\|E(k+1)(S - T)E(k+1)\| < \delta$ .

We choose  $p$  and  $T'$  such that  $\|E(p+1)(S - T')E(p+1)\| < \delta$ . We define  $k = p + 1$  and  $T = E(p+1)T'E(p+1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\| &= \|E(p+1)T'E(p+1)\| \\ &\leq \|E(p+1)(S - T')E(p+1)\| + \|E(p+1)SE(p+1)\| < \delta + \|S\|, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\|E(p+1)\| = 1$ . We get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|E(p+2)(S - T)E(p+2)\| \\ &= \|E(p+2)(E(p+1)SE(p+1) - E(p+1)T'E(p+1))E(p+2)\| \\ &\leq \|E(p+2)\| \cdot \|E(p+1)(S - T')E(p+1)\| \cdot \|E(p+2)\| < \delta \end{aligned}$$

and we have proved the assertion.

By the assertion we can find  $k > \max(m, n)$  and  $z \in \mathfrak{B}_k$  such that

$$\|z\| < 1 + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \|E(k+1)(z - y)E(k+1)\| < \delta/2^m. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $\|V(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*\| = 1$ , we have by (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|V(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*E(k+1)(z - y)E(k+1)V(0_m)V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^*\| \\ < \delta/2^m \quad \text{for all } (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \{0, 1\}^m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} V(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*E(k+1)(y - z)E(k+1)V(0_m)V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^* \\ &= \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} E(k+1)yV(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*V(0_m) \\ &\quad \times V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^*E(k+1) - E(k+1) \\ &\quad \times \left( E(m+1) \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} V(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*zV(0_m)V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^*E(m+1) \right) \\ &\quad \times E(k+1) \\ &= E(k+1)yE(m)E(k+1) - E(k+1)z'E(k+1) \\ &= E(k+1)(y - z')E(k+1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $z'$  is defined by

$$z' = E(m+1) \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} V(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*zV(0_m)V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^*E(m+1) \quad (3.6)$$

We add the inequalities in (3.5) and get

$$\|E(k+1)(y - z')E(k+1)\| < \delta. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.4) it follows that

$$\|V(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*zV(0_m)V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^*\| < 1 + \delta.$$

Since

$$V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^*V(b_1, \dots, b_m) = 0 \quad \text{if } (a_1, \dots, a_m) \neq (b_1, \dots, b_m),$$

we get

$$\left\| \sum_{(a_1, \dots, a_m)} V(a_1, \dots, a_m)V(0_m)^*zV(0_m)V(a_1, \dots, a_m)^* \right\| < 1 + \delta.$$

By (3.6) this gives

$$\|z'\| < 1 + \delta. \quad (3.8)$$

By Lemma 3 we have  $z' \in \mathfrak{B}_m^c \cap \mathfrak{A}$ . This implies by (3.3) and (3.8) that

$$|f(xz') - f(x)f(z')| < \delta\|z'\| \leq \delta(1 + \delta). \quad (3.9)$$

Since  $x \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ ,  $z' \in \mathfrak{B}_{m+1}$  and  $k+1 > \max(k, n)$ , we have by (3.2) and (3.7) that

$$|f(xz') - f(xy)| \leq \|x\|\delta, \quad (3.10)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(xz') - f(xy)| \\ &= |f(xE(k+1)z'E(k+1)) - f(xE(k+1)yE(k+1))| \\ &\leq \|xE(k+1)z'E(k+1) - xE(k+1)yE(k+1)\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have by (3.2) and (3.7) that

$$|f(z') - f(y)| = |f(E(k+1)(z' - y)E(k+1))| \leq \delta. \quad (3.11)$$

(3.9), (3.10), and (3.11) imply

$$|f(xy) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \|x\| \cdot \delta + \delta \cdot |f(x)| + \delta(1 + \delta) < \epsilon,$$

and we are done.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with identity. We suppose that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is not  $*$ -isomorphic with any  $n \times n$  matrix algebra*

such that  $n$  is finite. Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be two pure states of  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are unitarily equivalent.
- (2) There is an approximate matrix algebra

$$\{V(a_1) V(b_1)^*, B(1) : a_1, b_1 \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

such that

$$f_1(B(1)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(f_1 - f_2)|_{\mathfrak{M}(1)^c}\| = 0.$$

- (3) There is an approximate matrix algebra

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

such that

$$f_1(B(n)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \|(f_1 - f_2)|_{\mathfrak{M}(n)^c}\| < 1.$$

$\mathfrak{M}(n)$  is the linear span of the elements  $V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*$ , and  $\mathfrak{M}(n)^c$  is the commutant of  $\mathfrak{M}(n)$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 6,  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a simple NGCR-algebra with identity. (1)  $\rightarrow$  (2): We suppose  $f_1 \sim f_2$ . We define  $\pi = \pi_{f_1}$ . If  $\pi$  is a one-dimensional representation, the theorem is trivially satisfied. We suppose that  $\pi$  is at least two-dimensional, that  $f_1(\cdot) = (\pi(\cdot) x_1, x_1)$ , that  $f_2(\cdot) = (\pi(\cdot) x_2, x_2)$ , and that  $x_2 = \lambda x_1 + \mu z$  where  $x_1 \perp z$ ,  $\|z\| = 1$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . By [1, Theorem 2.8.3] there exist elements  $D$  and  $U$  of  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $D \geq 0$ ,  $\|D\| = 1$ ,  $\pi(D) x_1 = x_1$ ,  $\pi(D) z = 0$ ,  $U$  is unitary, and  $\pi(U) x_1 = z$ .

For each  $\epsilon > 0$  in  $(0, 1)$  we let  $f_\epsilon$  be the function defined by:  $f_\epsilon((-\infty, 1 - \epsilon]) = 0$ ,  $f_\epsilon([1 - \epsilon/2, \infty)) = 1$ , and  $f_\epsilon$  is linear on  $[1 - \epsilon, 1 - \epsilon/2]$ . We define

$$V = f_{1/2}(I - D) U f_{1/2}(D).$$

We prove now that  $f_{1/2}(I - D) f_{1/2}(D) = 0$ . We define  $g$  by  $g(t) = f_{1/2}(1 - t) f_{1/2}(t)$ . Since  $f_{1/2} = 0$  on  $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $\text{sp}(D) \subset [0, 1]$ , it follows that  $g = 0$  on  $\text{sp}(D)$ . This implies  $g(D) = 0$ . Since  $f_{1/2}(I - D) f_{1/2}(D) = 0$ , it follows that  $V^2 = 0$ . We have

$$\pi(V) x_1 = z \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(V^*) z = x_1. \quad (13.12)$$

We define

$$\begin{aligned} V(1) &= V k(V^* V), \quad \text{where } k(t) = (f_{1/2}(t) t^{-1})^{1/2}, \quad k(0) = 0, \\ V(0) &= f_{1/2}(V^* V), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B(1) = f_{1/4}(V^* V).$$

Next we want to prove that

$$\{V(i) V(j)^*, B(1) : i, j \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is an approximate matrix algebra.  $V(1)^* V(0) = 0$ , since  $(V^*)^2 = 0$ . Moreover,  $V(0)^* V(1) = 0$ , since  $V^2 = 0$ . This means that Axiom (1) in Definition 1 is satisfied. Axioms (2) and (3) are trivially satisfied. Since

$$V(1)^* V(1) = k(V^*V) V^*V k(V^*V) = f_{1/2}(V^*V),$$

it follows that  $V(1)^* V(1) B(1) = B(1)$ , because  $f_{1/2}f_{1/4} = f_{1/4}$ . Since  $f_{1/2}f_{1/4} = f_{1/4}$ , it follows that  $V(0)^* V(0) B(1) = B(1)$ , and Axiom 4 is satisfied. Thus we have proved that

$$\{V(i) V(j)^*, B(1) : i, j \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

is an approximate matrix algebra.

We define  $G$  by

$$G = \lambda V(0) V(0)^* + \mu V(1) V(0)^*.$$

From (13.12) we get

$$\pi(V(0) V(0)^*) x_1 = \pi([f_{1/2}(V^*V)]^2) x_1 = x_1,$$

$$\pi(V(1) V(0)^*) x_1 = \pi(Vk(V^*V) f_{1/2}(V^*V)) x_1 = z,$$

$$\pi(V(0) V(1)^*) z = \pi(f_{1/2}(V^*V) k(V^*V) V^*) z = x_1,$$

and hence

$$\pi(G) x_1 = \lambda x_1 + \mu z = x_2.$$

We get

$$\pi(V(0) V(0)^*) z = \pi(V(0) V(0)^* V(1) V(0)^*) x_1 = 0$$

and

$$\pi(V(0) V(1)^*) x_1 = \pi(V(0) V(1)^* V(0) V(1)^*) z = 0.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(G^*)(\lambda x_1 + \mu z) &= (\bar{\lambda} V(0) V(0)^* + \bar{\mu} V(0) V(1)^*)(\lambda x_1 + \mu z) \\ &= (|\lambda|^2 + |\mu|^2) x_1 = 1 \cdot x_1 = x_1. \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\pi(G^*G) x_1 = x_1.$$

We let  $A \in \mathfrak{M}(1)^c$ .

We get

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(A) &= (\pi(A) x_2, x_2) = (\pi(A) \pi(G) x_1, \pi(G) x_1) \\ &= f_1(G^*AG) = f_1(AG^*G) = f_1(A) \end{aligned}$$

since  $G$  and  $A$  commute and  $\pi(G^*G) x_1 = x_1$ .

(2)  $\rightarrow$  (3) is trivial.

(3)  $\rightarrow$  (1): We suppose  $f_1 \not\sim f_2$ , and we let

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_n) V(b_1, \dots, b_n)^*, B(n) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

be an approximate matrix algebra such that  $f_1(B(n)) = 1$ . By Lemma 5 we choose an approximate matrix algebra

$$\{V(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) V(b_1, \dots, b_{n+1})^*, B(n+1) : a_i, b_i \in \{0, 1\}\}$$

such that (1) and (2) in Lemma 5 are satisfied and such that  $f_1(B(n+1)) = 1$  and  $f_2(E(n+1)) = 0$ .  $f_1(B(n+1)) = 1$  implies  $f_1(E(n+1)) = 1$ , since  $B(n+1) \leq E(n+1)$ . In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 1, (1) and (4), we get  $E(n+1) \in \mathfrak{M}(n)^c$  and  $\|E(n+1)\| = 1$ . Since we have  $|(f_1 - f_2)(E(n+1))| = 1$ , it follows that

$$\|(f_1 - f_2)|_{\mathfrak{M}(n)^c}\| \geq 1.$$

## REFERENCES

1. J. DIXMIER, "Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leur représentations," Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
2. J. GLIMM, Type I  $C^*$ -algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **73** (1961), 572-612.
3. R. HAAG, R. V. KADISON, AND D. KASTLER, Nets of  $C^*$ -algebras and classification of states, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **16** (1970), 81-104.
4. R. T. POWERS, Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings, *Ann. of Math.* **86** (1967), 138-171.